1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 NHPUC AUG24/15 prd 9:03 4 August 10, 2015 - 1:32 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire 5 6 RE: DE 15-251 7 **EVERSOURCE ENERGY:** Complaint by Robert Fisher against 8 Eversource Energy. 9 PRESENT: Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding 10 Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey 11 12 Sandy Deno, Clerk 13 14 APPEARANCES: Robert Fisher, pro se 15 Reptg. Public Service Co. of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy: 16 Matthew J. Fossum, Esq. 17 Reptg. PUC Staff: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq. 18 Amanda O. Noonan, Dir./Consumer Affairs Div. 19 20 21 22 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52



1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	OPENING STATEMENTS BY:	
5	Mr. Fisher	10
6	* * *	
7	WITNESS PANEL: SAMUEL FISHER NICK ZARICKI	
8	JOSH YOUSSEF	
9	Direct examination by Mr. Fisher	17
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Fossum	29
11	Interrogatories by Commissioner Scott	39
12		
13	WITNESS: JANICE JOHNSON	
14	Direct examination by Mr. Fossum	40
15	Cross-examination by Mr. Fisher	45
16	Interrogatories by Commissioner Bailey	46
17		
18	* * *	
19	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
20	Mr. Sheehan	49
21	Mr. Fossum Mr. Fisher	51 54
22	QUESTIONS BY:	
23	Chairman Honigberg	53, 59, 61
24	Commissioner Scott	60

{DE 15-251} {08-10-15}

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Robert Fisher Hearing Notification submission (07-31-15)	9
5 6	2	Robert Fisher Exhibits for 08-10-15 hearing (08-07-15)	9
7	3	Eversource Energy Hearing Notification submission (07-24-15)	9
8 9	4	Eversource Energy Exhibits for 08-10-15 hearing (08-07-15)	9
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this afternoon in Docket DE 15-251, which is a complaint of Robert Fisher against Eversource. I think, procedurally, we're in a situation where Mr. Fisher is asking for the Commission to reconsider the ruling on his complaint that was delivered through a secretarial letter.

Before we go any further, let's take appearances, starting over here.

MR. FISHER: Hi there. Robert Fisher.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon.

Commission Staff, represented by Mike Sheehan, and with me is Amanda Noonan.

MR. FOSSUM: And, good afternoon,

Commissioners. Matthew Fossum, here for Public Service

Company of New Hampshire doing business as Eversource

Energy.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I know, Mr. Fossum, you filed something suggesting that we didn't need to do much in the way of evidence. I think we agree, in part, with what you said, although not fully. I think, Mr. Fisher, it's fair to say that the part that we agree with you might also agree with, that the basic underlying fact of payments, notices, etcetera, is not really in dispute,

```
is that right?
 1
 2
                         MR. FISHER: That is correct.
 3
       timeline is not in dispute.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Really, the issue
 5
       boils down to "what does "good standing" mean in this
 6
       context?" Does everybody agree with that?
 7
                         MR. FISHER: Yes.
                         MR. FOSSUM:
 8
                                     Yes.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, you
10
       have anything you want to offer on this?
11
                         MR. SHEEHAN: Just briefly. You had a
12
       slight misstatement at the beginning. The Commission, in
13
       fact, granted the Motion to Reconsider. And, this is, in
14
       fact, the hearing that would have happened the first time
15
       around, if there had been a hearing, rather than a
16
       secretarial letter.
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Fair enough.
                                                            Thank
18
       you for that clarification. That's right. We did
19
       actually grant the Motion to Reconsider, and that is what
20
       we are now doing, right? Right. Okay.
21
                         So, that aside, the procedural niceties
22
       aside, how do we want to proceed with respect, that it
23
       seems to me Mr. Fisher's witnesses want to offer testimony
```

about what "good standing" means in the commercial arena,

1 and how -- what it means in our rules, based on what it means in other contexts. Is that about right, Mr. Fisher? 2 3 MR. FISHER: That's correct. I also 4 have an opening statement, if there's an opportunity. 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We'll get there. 6 We'll get there. I'm just trying to figure out what we're 7 going to do from this point forward, but I appreciate your letting me know that. 8 Mr. Fossum, do you have witnesses you 9 10 want to put on with respect to that or you just intend to 11 question Mr. Fisher's witnesses? 12 MR. FOSSUM: I had intended only very 13 briefly to question Mr. Fisher's witnesses. We do have 14 one witness that we would present to testify about how 15 Eversource has interpreted and -- well, previously PSNH 16 and Northeast Utilities had interpreted and applied the 17 regulations that are at issue here. So, it would be just 18 very limited testimony on that issue. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, what's 20 your expectation for how you'd be participating, other 21 than correcting my mistakes, which is always helpful? 22 MR. SHEEHAN: We do not intend to 23 present any testimony. And, we are largely here as

observers, to answer any questions that the Commission may

have. We may have a position ultimately in this case, we do, but we don't intend to offer witnesses or even to cross-examine, unless the witnesses get into areas of conduct that they may have -- that the Commission Staff may have engaged in, but I don't think that's going to come up.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. So, what we're going to do is, if the parties want to make brief openings, they may, and then we'll start with witnesses and see how quickly we can get through this.

Good enough. Mr. Fisher, you want to proceed? Oh, Mr. Fossum. Yes, I'm sorry.

MR. FOSSUM: Just one process question I had hoped to answer before we began that. In the Commission's July 20th letter, it had asked -- well, not so much "asked" as "required", that the parties file the witness statements that have been submitted, as well as the evidentiary documents that had been submitted. Just as a matter of process, I was curious to know how the Commission wanted those treated or referred to? The normal process I know would be to identify a document for purposes of the record, later have objections, but there was also a ruling in the letter that the Commission's normal process would be set aside to the extent necessary

1	to complete this matter
	to complete this matter.
2	So, I guess, just as a matter of
3	process, I'm trying to understand, for instance, the
4	exhibits that we submitted, how they would be ultimately
5	included in the record?
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Make me an offer.
7	How do you want to do it? I mean, we're not there's no
8	magic to this. We're just trying to make sure that the
9	parties have the documents that they want to get in front
10	of us in front of us, marked and identified, so that, if
11	this goes to another level, people can figure out what
12	we're all talking about. So, I'm flexible, I think.
13	MR. FOSSUM: So far as I'm concerned,
14	all of the documents that were provided with the
15	submissions of the parties last this past Friday, the
16	7th, are fine to be entered into the record. I would not
17	object to that.
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There were also
19	submissions, Mr. Fisher made a submission dated July 29th,
20	and you made a submission dated July 24th. Are you
21	including those in what you just said?
22	MR. FOSSUM: I would have no problem
23	including either of those either.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fisher?

С

```
1
                         MR. FISHER: I have no problem with
 2
       that.
 3
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off the
 4
       record for a second.
 5
                         (Brief off-the-record discussion
 6
                         ensued.)
 7
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, we're going to
 8
       mark four exhibits. They're the four submissions that the
 9
      parties made. And, we'll do them by party. We'll do
10
      Mr. Fisher's -- we'll identify Mr. Fisher's first, and
11
       then we'll identify Eversource's.
12
                         So, "Exhibit 1" will be Mr. Fisher's
13
       July 29th submission. "Exhibit 2" will be Mr. Fisher's
14
       August 5th submission. "Exhibit 3" will be Eversource's
15
       July 24th submission. And, "Exhibit 4" will be
16
       Eversource's August 7th submission.
17
                         (The documents, as described, were
18
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2,
19
                         Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4, respectively,
20
                         for identification.)
21
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is everybody on the
22
       same page?
23
                         (No verbal response)
24
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there anything
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

else in the procedural department, before we take brief openings?

(No verbal response)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing nothing, Mr. Fisher, you may proceed.

MR. FISHER: Thank you. Today we are here to determine if Eversource's deposit policy is in line with the Public Utilities Commission's Administrative Rules. I believe that it is not. The Administrative Rule 1203.03, Paragraph (i) has the language: "In lieu of deposit, the utility shall accept the irrevocable written guarantee of a responsible party such as a social service organization, a municipal welfare agency, a bank, or a customer in good standing of the utility as a surety for a customer service account, provided that any such quarantee shall be in writing; include the maximum amount guaranteed; and specify that the utility shall not hold the quarantor liable for the sums in excess of the maximum amount guaranteed unless agreed to in a separate written agreement".

Today, we'll be hearing from Sam Fisher, who attempted to write a guarantee on my behalf to satisfy the administrative rule. Despite being in line with the regulation, Eversource has decided not to accept the

guarantee on the grounds that a customer with no arrearages was not sufficient to be considered a customer "in good standing". But, rather, the customer had to have twelve months of history with no arrearages to be considered "in good standing". There is no language in the administrative rules to consider such a burdensome stipulation, nor any commonly accepted definition of the term "in good standing" that would subject this.

In the written decision dated June 8th by the Commission, the Commission plainly states that the instances where a phrase or word that is not explicitly defined within the rules, the "common understanding" should be used.

Therefore, this hearing today is not to determine whether Eversource's policy makes business or financial sense, or whether it's reasonable, we are here to determine whether the policy follows the regulations set forth by the Public Utilities Commission.

To do so, we must determine if

Eversource's definition of "good standing" is the common understanding of the term "good standing". By any layman's standards, the definition of "good standing" is clear: A customer who is current on their account, all amounts owed paid, and any fees, including late fees, paid

as well.

Any average person who has a credit card is familiar with this construct. If you miss a minimum payment, you get a fee. If you pay the fee and you pay your minimum, you're in good standing. They don't care what your history is, they just want to make sure you're current.

Eversource, in contrast, determined that they wanted a specific definition, one that is not shared by many. In fact, it's one that is entirely unheard of by anybody I talked to about this since it came up. That's not a strong case for a common understanding.

what facets would determine the common understanding? First, it would need to be common among businesses or entities that use the phrase. When doing business with a company that has a "good standing" rule, any definition that strays from the common understanding would be separately stipulated. Some examples of entities that use that phrase, credit card companies, New Hampshire Bar, Secretary of State, and even other utilities.

Second, it would need to be commonly understood to the average citizen, to a reasonable person, who would do business or have other dealings with any of these entities. It cannot be considered "common" if it

1 does not pass both these tests. A definition that the public does not know is "uncommon", and certainly cannot 2 3 be applied as law without additional language to bring the 4 public into the understanding. 5 Likewise, it cannot pass the first test, 6 if one business has as specific definition that is not in 7 common with other businesses, as no reasonable person would be able to predict or anticipate what such a 8 specific definition might be, keeping in mind the use of 9 10 plain English in the rules, and the understanding of the 11 definition used by most other businesses and entities. If a business wanted to use a specific understanding of a 12 13 phrase, they would need to stipulate in addition to or in 14 place of the common phrase --15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fisher, you're 16 doing a great job of doing this slowly, so Mr. Patnaude 17 can get it down. But every once in a while you start to 18 speed up. 19 MR. FISHER: I'm sorry. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just keep it at a 21 nice even pace, so Mr. Patnaude can keep up with you. 22

Sorry to interrupt.

If a business wanted to use MR. FISHER: a specific understanding of a phrase, they would need to

23

1 stipulate in addition to or in place of the common phrase, 2 to make it clear that they are not using the common 3 definition. 4 It's important to note here that neither businesses, nor people, are allowed to impute or add 5 6 additional language to a law or regulation, as this 7 regulation that serves to govern that very business. 8 Businesses do not define the laws, and I, for one, am very 9 happy about that. 10 Today we will find that Eversource's 11 definition of "good standing" meets none of this criteria. 12 It is neither common among businesses nor government 13 entities that use it. Nor is it a definition that a

It is neither common among businesses nor government entities that use it. Nor is it a definition that a reasonable person would have understood given no additional language. In fact, we will also find that not even other utilities share this understanding of "good standing". Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum, do you want to say anything now or do you want to wait until it's your turn to put on a case?

MR. FOSSUM: I had intended to wait for a closing argument. So, I will forgo an opening statement for now. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's fine. Mr.

```
Fisher, typically, when one party is, in this context, is
 1
 2
       going to put on multiple witnesses or are largely
 3
       testifying to the same types of things, we put them all up
       together, and you can question them one at a time, and
 4
 5
       then they can all be questioned by the others as a group.
 6
                         Does that work for you? It tends to get
 7
       a little quicker.
 8
                         MR. FISHER: Yes.
                                            I have no objection
 9
       to that.
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So, why
11
       don't you have your witnesses take the stand.
12
                         MR. FISHER: Okay.
13
                                      I guess one other process
                         MR. FOSSUM:
14
       question, while the witnesses are working their way up
15
               Is that Mr. Fisher himself has filed a statement
       there.
16
       in this case, and I didn't know if he intended to take the
17
       stand and how that would be addressed?
18
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's a good
19
       point. Mr. Fisher, do you intend to offer testimony, as
20
       well as the types of arguments you've just been making?
21
                         MR. FISHER: My understanding of the
22
       procedure was not, that I would have an opening statement,
23
       so that was my prepared statement. So, no, I don't intend
24
       on anything additionally.
```

```
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum, do you
 1
 2
       have questions that you want to ask of Mr. Fisher now?
 3
       Understanding that what he's done is not under oath, he's
 4
       basically made an argument. That's pretty much pure
 5
       argument what he did. Do you want to ask him questions
 6
       under oath or do you want to just go with the three
 7
       witnesses who will be sworn in? Because we can swear him
       in, I think, if we need to. Do you want to think about
 8
 9
       that, while we deal with the other three witnesses?
10
                         MR. FOSSUM: I will. Initially, as I'm
11
       looking through my notes here, I don't believe that I
12
       would have any questions that would require him to be
13
       under oath or to testify. And, I believe his argument has
14
       addressed a number of the issues that I would have asked
15
      him about anyway. So, for now, I don't think it necessary
16
       to have him up there.
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Let me just
18
       check with the other Commissioners and see if there's
19
       anything they might want to ask that would require
20
       Representative Fisher to be under oath.
21
                         (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)
22
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes.
                                                    I don't think
23
       so. So, I think we're going to go as it looks like we're
24
       going.
```

1	So, Mr. Patnaude, would you like to
2	swear the witnesses in please.
3	(Whereupon Samuel Fisher, Nick Zaricki,
4	and Josh Youssef were duly sworn by the
5	Court Reporter.)
6	MR. FISHER: Is there a place you'd
7	prefer me to stand with a microphone or should I do it
8	from here?
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Stay where you are.
LO	MR. FISHER: Okay. So,
L1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fisher, if
L2	there is something you want to show them, feel free to
L3	approach them at any time. Just everybody is more
L 4	comfortable if they're sitting down, and no need for us to
L5	do anything more formal than that.
L6	MR. FISHER: Okay. Thank you.
L7	SAMUEL FISHER, SWORN
L8	NICK ZARICKI, SWORN
L9	JOSH YOUSSEF, SWORN
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. FISHER:
22	Q. So, my first questions are for Sam Fisher. According
23	to the timeline of events here, you contacted
24	Eversource on April 13th, 2015, to offer a written

- 1 guarantee on behalf of myself, is that correct?
- 2 A. (Fisher) That is correct.
- 3 Q. Did they accept this guarantee?
- 4 A. (Fisher) They did not.
- Q. Why did they tell you they did not accept the guarantee?
- 7 A. (Fisher) They informed me that I would have had to have
 8 had service for over twelve months before I'd be able
 9 to offer that.
- 10 Q. How long had you had your Eversource account at that point?
- 12 A. (Fisher) I had had my Eversource account since February
 13 of that year.
- 14 Q. So, that would be two months?
- 15 A. (Fisher) Two months, yes.
- 16 Q. How long have you had your Eversource account now?
- 17 A. (Fisher) I have had it for -- so, six months now.
- 18 Q. Six months. So, despite having had your account for
- 19 two months, they declined your written guarantee
- 20 because you weren't -- because your account was less
- 21 than twelve months old, correct?
- 22 A. (Fisher) That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Okay. So, I do have an exhibit that I had submitted earlier. It's "Exhibit G".

- 1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Which package is it
- 2 part of?
- 3 MR. FISHER: That is Package 2. Exhibit
- 4 G.
- 5 BY MR. FISHER:
- 6 Q. Is this a letter from your landlord?
- 7 A. (Fisher) Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. And, what does that state?
- 9 A. (Fisher) The letter states that, with my landlord, I
- 10 have made full payments in a timely manner, and am in
- 11 good standing.
- 12 Q. And, how long have you had that apartment?
- 13 A. (Fisher) I have had that apartment for six months.
- 14 Q. So, you've had that apartment for the same amount of
- time you've had your Eversource account?
- 16 A. (Fisher) That is correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And, it's been less than twelve months?
- 18 A. (Fisher) That is correct.
- 19 Q. So, you are considered a customer "in good standing" of
- 20 the landlord, despite having the account the same
- amount of time as the utility account?
- 22 A. (Fisher) That is correct.
- 23 Q. Okay. My next questions are for Josh Youssef. Josh,
- you own a number of New Hampshire small-based -- New

- 1 Hampshire-based small businesses, is this correct?
- 2 A. (Youssef) Yes.
- 3 Q. Can you state a small handful of the businesses?
- A. (Youssef) Atlantic Property Management, we own

 commercial and residential rental properties; Same Day

 Computer, which is a small franchise system comprised

 in computer repair and upgrade stores, as well as

 on-site business services and IT support; Faster

 Thinking Technologies, we develop customer software for
- Q. So, you offer tech-related services, as well as, am I understanding correctly, you also do leasing or renting of properties?
- 14 A. (Youssef) Yes.

businesses.

- Q. Okay. Do you have any customers in any of those
 businesses in which you collect payment after a service
 is complete?
- 18 A. (Youssef) Hundreds, if not over a thousand. Sure.
- Q. If a customer has not paid their bill, does your -does your business consider them a "customer in good
 standing"?
- A. (Youssef) We expect payment within a certain amount of time. When we issue an invoice, we want payment within 30 days. And, if they go beyond that, they will get a

- notice. And, if they go beyond 45 days, they get a second notice. At which point they will have until 60 days, and then they fall into a category of "not good standing".
- Q. Would you perform new services for a customer who's not in good standing?
- 7 A. (Youssef) No.
- 8 Q. What steps does the customer have to take to return to
 9 "good standing" status with your businesses?
- 10 A. (Youssef) They need to pay all amounts that are past due.
- Q. So, a customer who has paid bills late can return to good standing as soon as they've paid all amounts due?
- 14 A. (Youssef) Sure. Absolutely.
- 15 Q. Is there any time requirement for a customer to stay

 16 current before you consider them "in good standing"?
- 17 A. (Youssef) No. Because they couldn't continue to do
 18 business with me, if they were in bad standing. So, --
- Q. Would you immediately perform new services for a customer after they return to good standing?
- 21 A. (Youssef) Sure. Yes.
- Q. Would you consider your definition of "good standing"
 to be commonly accepted doing business in New
 Hampshire?

- 1 A. (Youssef) Yes.
- 2 MR. FISHER: Okay. That's all my
- questions for Mr. Youssef. And, finally, I do have some
- 4 exhibits for Representative Zaricki. So, I'm just going
- 5 to bring them all up right now.
- 6 (Mr. Fisher handing documents to Witness
- 7 Zaricki.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are those also what
- 9 are part of Exhibit 2?
- 10 MR. FISHER: Yes. I'll let you know
- which ones. So, that is Exhibits A, B, and C, and D.
- 12 BY MR. FISHER:
- 13 Q. So, Representative Zaricki, on June 10th, you contacted
- Eversource to offer a written guarantee on my behalf as
- a responsible party, is that correct?
- 16 A. (Zaricki) Yes.
- 17 Q. What was the outcome of that phone call?
- 18 A. (Zaricki) I was told that, since I don't have a
- 19 Eversource account, I don't qualify to make the written
- 20 guarantee.
- 21 Q. Now, you've done some research on the case that Sam
- 22 Fisher was declined by Eversource, is that correct?
- 23 A. (Zaricki) Correct.
- 24 Q. And, what did you do for that research?

- A. (Zaricki) I researched what the various definitions of
 "good standing" were, particularly with the other
 utilities in New Hampshire.
 - Q. Now, Exhibit C there that I've handed you, is that the e-mail you received in response to contacting New Hampshire Electric Co-op?
- 7 A. (Zaricki) Yes, it is.

5

6

- 8 Q. Can you read the last paragraph?
- 9 A. (Zaricki) "NHEC's Terms and Conditions also provide
 10 that member deposits are credited to current member
 11 electric bills when there has been 24 consecutive
 12 months without an arrearage. These provisions seem
 13 somewhat analogous to a "member in good standing" type
 14 standard."
- Q. Does the letter state that the Co-op has a working definition of "good standing" currently?
- 17 A. (Zaricki) It states the opposite.
- Q. So, according to the text, they have a deposit return policy of returning deposits to customers who have had an account for 24 months without arrearages, and they consider that analogous to a definition of "good standing", is that correct?
 - A. (Zaricki) Correct.
- Q. Okay. In PUC order dated June 8th, the PUC illustrates

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

```
Eversource's definition of "customer in good standing"

as "a customer with a history of twelve timely payments

without arrearages". Would you say that these two

definitions are similar?
```

- A. (Zaricki) There seems to be an obvious discrepancy.
- Q. Now, if you could look at Exhibit B, are these transcripts that you had of conversations with Unitil customer service reps?
- 9 A. (Zaricki) Yes.

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Can you briefly just read the underlined portions of those, of that exhibit?
- 12 (Zaricki) "I spoke" -- pardon me. This is the Unitil Α. 13 Customer Service Rep speaking: "I spoke with the 14 manager and they said a customer in good standing would 15 be if a customer is current on their payments and paid 16 on time. Myself: Ok. Is there a length of service 17 component to that? For instance, does a customer need 18 to be with Unitil for a set time period before they're 19 in good standing?" Customer Service Rep: No."

20 A quick question. Am I continuing to 21 Page 2?

- Q. Yes. If you could read, this is a second conversation you had with a separate Rep?
- 24 A. (Zaricki) Correct.

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

Q. Okay.

- 2 Second Unitil Customer Service Rep: "A customer in Α. 3 good standing would be a customer who is current on their payments and pays their bills on time." I then 4 5 ask the same question: "Is there a length of service component for that? For instance, does a customer need 6 7 to be with Unitil for a set time period, like six 8 months or year, before they're in good standing? Unitil Rep: No." 9
- 10 Q. So, in these transcripts, both customer service
 11 representatives described a customer in good standing
 12 as a customer who is "current on their payments and
 13 pays their bills on time", is that correct?
- 14 A. (Zaricki) Correct.
- Q. Did they detail any length requirement for a customer account to be considered "in good standing"?
- 17 A. (Zaricki) None whatsoever.
- Q. Do these definitions share much in common with Eversource's "twelve timely payments"?
- 20 A. (Zaricki) No.
- Q. And, if you could just look at Exhibit A. Is this the transcript of the conversation you had with a customer service representative at Liberty Utilities?
- 24 A. (Zaricki) Yes.

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

- Q. Can you read the underlined portion?
- 2 A. "Liberty Utilities Customer Service Representative:
- Okay. It looks like we consider a customer in good
- 4 standing as long as they haven't missed or been late on
- 5 more than three payments. Myself: Ok. So, just
- 6 staying current on payments qualifies as a customer in
- 7 good standing? Liberty Rep: Yes. Myself: There is
- 8 no length of service requirement of say six months or a
- 9 year? Liberty Representative: No."
- 10 Q. So, it would appear that Liberty Utilities defines
- "customer in good standing" as "a customer who has
- missed or been late on no more than three payments"?
- 13 A. (Zaricki) It would appear that way, correct.
- 14 Q. Does Unitil indicate that there's a time requirement
- for the customer to be considered in good standing?
- 16 A. (Zaricki) No.

- 17 Q. Is this definition of "good standing" similar to
- Eversource's "twelve timely payments"?
- 19 A. (Zaricki) No.
- 20 Q. Okay. Last exhibit. Exhibit D please. Can you read
- just the two underlined paragraphs?
- 22 A. (Zaricki) "Thank you for contacting me regarding how
- 23 Liberty Utilities defines a "customer in good
- standing". I have spoken with our billing and

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

regulatory departments and we have actually never had a situation involving request for a written guarantee from a customer in good standing on behalf of another customer, who was required to pay the company a deposit."

"I would note that should such a request be paid of Liberty Utilities we would define a "customer in good standing" as one without late payments or disconnections for a period of twelve months."

- Q. And, can you read the author of that e-mail? It's at the bottom.
- A. (Zaricki) Hopefully I don't butcher his last name,

 Michael Licata, the Director of Government and

 Community Relations at Liberty Utilities.
- 16 Q. Now, does this definition match the definition you were
 17 given by customer service representatives of that very
 18 same company?
- 19 A. (Zaricki) No, it does not.

- Q. Based on this new evidence, would you consider Liberty
 Utilities to have a common understanding of "good
 standing" internally at their organization that all
 employees know?
 - A. (Zaricki) I would not.

1 Q. Would you consider Eversource's definition of "good 2 standing" of "twelve timely payments" to be common 3 among the utilities in New Hampshire? (Zaricki) No. 4 Α. 5 Q. Now, as a state representative, what do you take into 6 account when drafting bills that may become laws? 7 MR. FOSSUM: I guess I would object to 8 that question. I'm not certain what the relevance of that question would be to the issues that we're here to discuss 9 10 today. 11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have the same 12 question. What is the relevance of that question to what 13 we're considering here today? 14 MR. FISHER: Maybe I can clarify. 15 BY MR. FISHER: 16 What do you take into account with your wording when 17 you draft a bill? 18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Before you answer 19 that question, what is the relevance of the 20 Representative's view on drafting? MR. FISHER: I'm trying to establish 21 22 that, when a state legislator is drafting legislation, 23 that he would, of course, make sure that the wording is

plainly understood by a reasonable person.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ooh.
2	MR. FOSSUM: I object.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The jokes almost
4	write themselves. I think we would take administrative
5	notice of the fact that draftsmanship is extremely
6	important in legislation, as well as rules, which have the
7	force and effect of law.
8	So, I think we will accept the
9	significance of being careful with how one uses words.
LO	MR. FISHER: Okay. I only have one
L1	final question.
L2	BY MR. FISHER:
L3	Q. Which you consider Eversource's definition of "good
L 4	standing", "twelve timely payments", to be a commonly
L5	understood definition among the general public in New
L6	Hampshire?
L 7	A. (Zaricki) No.
L8	MR. FISHER: Thank you. I have no other
L9	questions.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum.
21	MR. FOSSUM: Thank you, Commissioners.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. FOSSUM:
24	Q. I guess I'll begin where we left off with Mr. Zaricki.

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

- Could I ask initially, in what is Exhibit A and B to
 Exhibit 2, the transcripts that you had provided,
 relative to Liberty Utilities and Unitil, were those
- 5 A. (Zaricki) Not on my end.

conversations recorded?

- Q. So, these -- the transcripts are from your notes and memory?
- 8 A. (Zaricki) Correct.

4

- 9 Q. Was there anyone else who listened to those conversations that you're aware of?
- 11 A. (Zaricki) Again, not on my end.
- Q. Okay. So, when you're representing the questions to the representatives of these companies and their responses, this is your statement of what they said, rather than a transcription of their words?
- 16 A. (Zaricki) This is transcribed from immediate memory as
 17 we are speaking.
- Q. With respect to Exhibit A, the document relating to the conversation with Liberty Utilities, you read a section of your document where it states, if I'm looking at the right part, that they "consider a customer in good standing to be one who has not missed or been late on more than three payments", is that --
 - A. (Zaricki) Yes.

- Q. You did read that section. When you asked about a time frame, did you direct that time frame to the past or the future?
 - A. (Zaricki) Could you clarify please?

4

8

9

10

20

- Q. Well, you asked about "a length of service requirement" relative to their payment history. Was that a reference to the history of their payment?
 - A. (Zaricki) Yes. I was asking exactly what they would need to do to be in good standing as an existing customer.
- 11 Q. So, by your understanding, you were asking whether the
 12 three payments that they were referring to had to do
 13 with three payments made historically?
- 14 A. (Zaricki) Yes. That was my understanding.
- Q. So, if I'm reading this correctly then, if a customer had been a customer of, say, Liberty Utilities for fifteen years, just hypothetically, and had been late or missed three payments, that history would be relevant in determining if they're in good standing?
 - A. (Zaricki) According to this representative. I don't work for Liberty. So, I couldn't tell you.
- Q. When you were asking the questions of the Liberty
 Utilities representative, did you inform the
 representative that you were looking for information

- about instances where a customer has asked to provide a guarantee on behalf of another customer?
 - A. (Zaricki) I did not. I specifically asked about how they would define a "customer in good standing".

- Q. But, later, it would appear that, by e-mail, there was a question about "in good standing" relative to "providing a guarantee". Is that what the e-mail included as Exhibit D is showing?
- A. (Zaricki) It would appear to be so. I would point out to that end that, if you look, this is not a correspondence by me, but by Representative Fisher.
- Q. No, I understand. So, is it possible then that, when you were asking about "a customer in good standing" over the telephone, and not asking about "a good standing for purposes of providing a guarantee", that that would be the difference in the responses between the telephone representative and the e-mail statement?
- A. (Zaricki) I suppose it's possible. But I think this --
- Q. Okay. Thank you. That's what I was looking for. I have a similar question about the transcript of the discussions with the representative at Unitil. Did you ask the representative at Unitil whether your questions were about "customer in good standing for purposes of providing a guarantee"?

- 1 A. (Zaricki) No.
- 2 Q. And, I have one final question for Representative
- 3 Zaricki. Are you aware if the New Hampshire Electric
- 4 Cooperative is subject to the deposit rules in Puc
- 5 1203.03?
- 6 A. (Zaricki) I am not sure, off the top of my head.
- 7 Q. Would you accept that they are not regulated by those rules?
- 9 A. (Zaricki) I'll trust you.
- 10 Q. And, would that change your opinion of the statements
- that they provided in that e-mail?
- 12 A. (Zaricki) My opinion?
- 13 Q. If you're willing to accept that they're not regulated
- by the rule that we're here to discuss, then I would
- ask, does that change your opinion about whether there
- is an issue with them having a different interpretation
- of the requirements for "good standing"?
- 18 A. (Zaricki) No. I think they're still a utility.
- 19 Q. Okay. Mr. Fisher, you provided us with what is
- 20 Exhibit G?
- 21 A. (Fisher) That's correct.
- 22 Q. And, that's, as you described, a "letter in good
- 23 standing" from your landlord?
- 24 A. (Fisher) That is correct.

- 1 Q. Do you have a lease with your landlord, Mr. Fisher?
- 2 A. (Fisher) Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you have to apply for that lease?
- 4 A. (Fisher) Yes.
- Q. And, what kind of information did they ask for when you applied for that lease?
- 7 A. (Fisher) It's difficult for me to list all of the
 8 information during the application period. The
 9 application process did involve a credit check, as well
 10 as basic information about my person.
- 11 Q. Did they ask any questions you said they "did a

 12 credit check". So, did they ask any questions about,

 13 say, your income or employment history?
- 14 A. (Fisher) Yes.
- Q. Did they require you to pay a deposit as part of your lease agreement?
- 17 A. (Fisher) They did.
- Q. Even after filling out all of that information, did the landlord, to the best of your knowledge, have an obligation to rent you an apartment or could the landlord have refused?
- 22 A. (Fisher) At which point?
- Q. After you filled out the application for the lease and provided them all of the information that they

- 1 requested, could the landlord still refuse to rent?
- A. (Fisher) They, to my knowledge, had the ability to refuse that, until the point at which I paid the down
- 4 payment, the deposit.
- Q. Mr. Fisher, do you know, can a utility refuse to provide service?
- 7 A. (Fisher) I am unaware of the laws governing that.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And, for Mr. Youssef, just a couple of short questions. You had -- I apologize. If I'm following the timeline of the statements that you said before, that normally, and stop me if I misstate please, when you provide services, then you render a bill, that you expect to be paid within 30 days, is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. (Youssef) That's correct. Yes.
- Q. And, if not, then they receive a notice that asks them to pay within 45 days, is that correct?
- A. (Youssef) Perhaps I was unclear when I was originally describing it. They'll get the bill -- they will get the bill, and we expect it paid within 30 days. If it's not paid, we issue another bill, or like a "reminder" statement, --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. (Youssef) -- saying "We'd like you to pay this within

- the", you know, "immediate future." At 45 days, they
 get another statement. Rather than issuing monthly
 statements, we kind of -- we expect to hold things a
 little closer.
- 5 Q. And, then, if the customer hasn't paid at 60 days?
- A. (Youssef) They're just -- they're shut off,
 essentially, until they make good on their bill.
- Q. And, then, is it your testimony, as soon as they make good on their bill, they're in good standing with you?
- 10 A. (Youssef) Correct. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 11 Q. Hypothetically, if you had a customer who didn't pay
 12 for 70 days, and then paid, that customer would be in
 13 good standing with you?
 - A. (Youssef) Yes. Actually, more than hypothetically, this actually just happened. I was just with a client the other day who had a bill outstanding from March, if you can believe it. And, they had some computer "emergency", and they realized they were in arrears.

 And, they said "Come on up, we have a check for you.

 And, while you're there, can you blah, blah, blah."

 And, I, of course, obliged.
 - Q. Now, if this -- I guess I'll continue on with that example. If that customer had a history of doing that over, say, a period of two years, --

[WITNESS PANEL: Fisher~Zaricki~Youssef]

- 1 A. (Youssef) This particular customer has a history of five years doing that.
- Q. And, that customer, simply by paying up, returns to good standing with your company?
- 5 A. (Youssef) Correct. Yes.
- 6 Q. But if --

22

23

- A. (Youssef) I liken it to an elastic band. I mean, it's,
 you know, it gets extended, and then it returns, it
 extends and it returns. And, unfortunately, I wish all
 customers paid their bill on time, but it's just not
 the way it is. Especially in this economy, I'm
 finding.
- Q. Now, until that customer pays, you refuse to provide services for that customer? Is that generally how you handle that?
- A. (Youssef) Yes. And, of course, we have -- we make
 exceptions periodically, customers, our bigger
 customers, that may be waiting on payments from their
 customers, you know, we try to work with people.
 That's generally the approach we try to take, is to be
 reasonable.
 - Q. And, are there any guidelines or regulations that your business must adhere to in determining whether a customer is or is not in good standing?

- 1 A. (Youssef) Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q. So, all of these decisions that you make about "good standing" are entirely based on your own assessment of what's an appropriate way to run your business?
 - A. (Youssef) I would say it's more of an adoption of my experiences, my common understanding, as you might say. It is common. And, I wanted to kind of pay that forward in adopting my policies and creating my policies, as somebody who has many accounts with many different types of entities, people, organizations, so on and so forth.
- Q. But, I guess, so, just for clarity, the short answer is that "this is your policy"? It's not --
- 14 A. (Youssef) That's correct.

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. It's not based on a rule or regulation or a law that you're aware of?
- 17 A. (Youssef) That's correct. Yes.
- MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. That's all I had for now.
- 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, do you
- 21 have any questions for the witnesses?
- MR. SHEEHAN: I do not. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott.
- COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. Thanks.

- I think, for Representative Zaricki, I had a quick question, I think to follow on with Mr. Fossum's.
- 3 BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. On the survey you did for the utilities, I was curious if -- I got the text, obviously, so, I understand what you said to the utilities.
- A. (Zaricki) Yes.
 - Q. For Unitil, similar it looks like for Mr. Licata, and you did get his last name right correct, by the way, he seemed to be answering in the context of this rule for a guarantor. I was curious, if you had presented that question to Unitil, in the context of "their definition of "good standing" in providing a guarantee", do you think you might have gotten a different answer?
 - A. (Zaricki) It's possible. But I think the context I gave, to overuse the phrase, it's the common context of "customer in good standing". And, that's what we're looking for, is the common understanding of the term, and that's what I think I got.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Understood. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
- 24 Bailey?

[WITNESS: Johnson] 1 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No questions. 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fisher, do you 4 have any follow-up questions for your witnesses? 5 MR. FISHER: No. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, 7 gentlemen. You can return to your seats. 8 Mr. Fisher, you have no other witnesses, 9 correct? 10 MR. FISHER: I do have a question for 11 Janice, but I believe she's going to be called. 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Right. 13 MR. FISHER: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's going to be 15 the Company's witness. You'll get a chance to ask her 16 questions. Mr. Fossum. 17 MR. FOSSUM: If there are no other 18 witnesses, then I would ask Ms. Johnson to take the stand. 19 (Whereupon Janice Johnson was duly sworn 20 by the Court Reporter.) 21 JANICE JOHNSON, SWORN 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. FOSSUM:

{DE 15-251} {08-10-15}

Good afternoon, Ms. Johnson.

[WITNESS: Johnson]

- 1 A. Hi.
- 2 Q. Could you please state your name and your employer for
- 3 the record please.
- 4 A. Janice Johnson, Eversource.
- 5 Q. And, just for clarity for all here, when we're
- 6 referring to "Eversource", are we also referring to
- 7 what used to be known as "PSNH" or "Public Service
- 8 Company of New Hampshire"?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, what is your position with Eversource?
- 11 A. I'm a Credit Supervisor.
- 12 Q. And, what are your responsibilities in that position?
- 13 A. Overseeing the Credit Department for the State of New
- 14 Hampshire for Eversource, managing nine
- representatives, and managing the work for Eversource
- in New Hampshire.
- 17 Q. And, does that work include overseeing deposits --
- customer deposits and guarantees?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How long have you been employed by Eversource?
- 21 A. Twenty-six years.
- 22 Q. And, how long have you held your current position?
- 23 A. Fifteen years.
- 24 Q. And, has that entire time been spent in the Credit

[WITNESS: Johnson]

- 1 Department?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the Commission's rules relating
- 4 to customer deposits and customer guarantees?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you apply those rules to your activities regularly?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. About how often would you say you do?
- 9 A. About ten to fifteen times a week.
- 10 Q. And, that "ten to fifteen times a week", that's for
- customer deposits or customer guarantees?
- 12 A. Customer guarantees.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with the Company's guaranty policy,
- that for the record was entered as "Eversource"
- Exhibit 5", in what has been marked as "Exhibit 4" for
- the purposes of the hearing?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, that policy states that it was in effect as of May
- of 2012, is that correct?
- 20 A. That policy was rewritten then, yes.
- 21 Q. It was rewritten. How was it rewritten?
- 22 A. Just into a new format.
- 23 Q. But it's the same, in substance, it is the same policy?
- 24 A. Yes.

[WITNESS: Johnson]

- 1 Q. And, how long has that been the policy?
- 2 A. Twenty years.
- Q. In the twenty years that that's been the policy that
 you're aware of, and having applied it ten to fifteen
 times per week, has there -- have you ever had any
 reason to question that this policy does not align with
 the Commission's regulations?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Has anyone from the Commission ever contacted you to
 10 specifically tell you that the policy does not align
 11 with Commission's regulations?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. So, getting to the heart of the issue here, for a customer guaranty, for a customer to be considered "in good standing", what's required of them?
- 16 A. Twelve months of consecutive good credit with us.
- 17 Q. And, that applies to every customer?
- 18 A. Every customer.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, why would a customer
 with fewer than twelve payments not be considered "a
 customer in good standing" for purposes of providing a
 guaranty?
- A. Because they're still considered a new customer with us until they have twelve months of good credit with us.

[WITNESS: Johnson]

44

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum, just a
2 second. Ms. Johnson, can you do me a favor and slide that
3 microphone that way. No, see. So, when you're talking to
4 Mr. Fossum, you're talking to the microphone.

WITNESS JOHNSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Because you fade in and out as you move. So, it would be helpful. Thank you very much.

MR. FOSSUM: I only have a couple other questions.

11 BY MR. FOSSUM:

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

- Q. Ms. Johnson, you heard Mr. Fisher argue that a "customer in good standing" should be considered "one who's paid all of their bills without any reference to their payment history". Did you recall hearing that?
- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you agree with that?
- A. Not from an Eversource perspective, no. You should have twelve months of good standing with Eversource to be a customer of good standing.
- 21 Q. And, I guess, just to bring it to close, why is that?
 - A. Because you're showing us that you're paying on time and that you're paying your bills and that you're a good customer. We don't give back a deposit to a

{DE 15-251} {08-10-15}

[WITNESS: Johnson]

1 customer unless there are twelve months of good 2 standing with us. So, we use the same standard. 3 don't get a deposit back unless you're twelve months of 4 good standing with us. So, we use that same rule, is 5 that you have twelve months of good credit to be a 6 quarantor. 7 MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I have nothing 8 further. 9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fisher, do you 10 have questions for Ms. Johnson? 11 MR. FISHER: Yes. Thank you. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. FISHER: 14 Just briefly, you described a customer who has had less 15 than twelve months of service as a "new customer", is 16 that correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. Is it possible for a new customer to be in good 19 standing? 20 No. You have to have twelve months of good credit with Α. 21 us to be a good standing customer. 22 So, if a new customer had an account for eleven months Q. 23 and paid their bill on time every single month without 24 one arrearage, they would not be considered a "customer

[WITNESS: Johnson]

```
in good standing"?
 1
          Twelve months.
 2
    Α.
          Would you consider them a "customer in bad standing"?
 3
          No.
               They would be meeting, you know, an okay customer.
 4
     Α.
 5
          It has to be twelve months to be "good standing".
                         MR. FISHER: That's all my questions.
 6
 7
       Thank you.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, do you
       have any questions for Ms. Johnson?
 9
10
                         MR. SHEEHAN: I do not. Thank you.
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott?
11
12
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: I don't think so.
13
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
14
       Bailey?
15
                         COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I just have one.
16
    BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
17
     Q.
          Do you have Exhibit 5 that's attached to Exhibit 4?
18
     Α.
          No.
19
                         COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I just need
20
       Page 3, or she just needs Page 3.
21
                         (Atty. Fossum handing document to the
22
                         witness.)
```

{DE 15-251} {08-10-15}

glasses. I cannot read this.

WITNESS JOHNSON: And, I need my

23

WITNESS: Johnson]

1 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, I can read to you the sentence. Well, you probably want to see it 2 3 yourself. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record. 5 (Brief off-the-record discussion 6 ensued.) 7 WITNESS JOHNSON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: You good? 9 WITNESS JOHNSON: Good. 10 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 11 Okay. In the Overview section, the second sentence Ο. 12 "The guarantor must have or once had service says: 13 with PSNH." Can you tell me when it would be 14 applicable, based on everything that we've heard, that 15 it would be appropriate for a guarantor to once have 16 had service with PSNH? 17 Well, if you, like, if you moved and come back, and if Α. 18 you want to be a quarantor for somebody, we'll look at 19 your old history that you had with us and see if you 20 had twelve months of good history with us. But you would also have to be a current customer? 21 Q. 22 Α. Correct. 23 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

{DE 15-251} {08-10-15}

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's it?

1	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Actually, I don't
3	have any questions for Ms. Johnson. Mr. Fossum, I
4	wouldn't think you have any follow-up, but do you?
5	MR. FOSSUM: I do not.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. You can
7	return to your seat, Ms. Johnson. Thank you.
8	Am I correct that there are no other
9	witnesses to be called?
LO	(No verbal response)
L1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Is there any
L2	objection to striking the identification from the four
L3	exhibits and all of the subexhibits that have been
L 4	offered? Mr. Fossum?
L5	MR. FOSSUM: No objection.
L6	MR. FISHER: No objection.
L 7	MR. SHEEHAN: No objection.
L8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there anything
L9	else we need to do, before we allow you to sum up briefly?
20	(No verbal response)
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seems not.
22	Mr. Sheehan, do you have anything you want to offer at
23	this time?
24	MR. SHEEHAN: If the Commission cares to

49 1 hear Staff's position, I can say it in two minutes. Otherwise, I will continue my silence here in the back 2 3 row. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I think we're 5 interested in Staff's position. 6 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. Both parties 7 in this case quoted I think the right law in interpreting a state agency's regulation. And, that is you look at the 8 9 language, you try to, I'll quote, Mr. Fossum quoted a case 10 that says "We interpret disputed language of a statute or 11 regulation in the context of the overall statutory or 12 regulatory scheme and not in isolation. We seek to 13 effectuate the overall legislative purpose and to avoid an

14 absurd or unjust result. We can neither ignore the plain

language of the legislation nor add words which the

lawmakers did not see fit to include." And, here --16

17 that's the Boviard, B-o-v-i-a-r-d case.

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Here we have a situation where the phrase is not defined in the section with the guarantor, but is defined, as it's been referenced, that the deposit can be returned after twelve months of good standing.

And, Staff's position is, you don't look at just the one regulation, which is (i), but you look at the overall effect of -- the overall purpose of the

deposit rule, which is .03, and then you can pick up the definition that, perhaps in a better world, would have been included in (i) where it isn't.

So, it's Staff's position that you want to read these rules in total and get a fair understanding of what was intended with these regulations, is you can pick up the "twelve month requirement" from the "deposit return" piece of the rules. And, that's what Eversource has done in this case, and they have obviously been consistent in doing it for twenty years.

And, the Commission's role now, in adjudicating this dispute, is "what was reasonable?" And, clearly, what -- it's Staff's position, what they did was reasonable. And, it really is a legal argument, not a factual argument. To the extent you look at the facts, the most compelling ones would be what the other utilities did. With all due respect, what other businesses do is not relevant necessarily to the utility context.

Liberty clearly agrees, has the same policy as Eversource. The Co-op is not regulated by these rules, and they actually have a stricter standard. And, Unitil did not really give an answer, I submit. The transcript of the phone call, it suggests the question by Mr. Zaricki was "assuming I'm applying for a loan, would I

be "in good standing"? What's your definition of "good standing"?" So, in fact, they changed the context from "guarantor" to "assume I'm applying for a loan". So, I don't think the evidence here by Unitil is helpful at all.

So, for those reasons, we think the application of the policy here was reasonable on behalf of Eversource.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum.

MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I would echo virtually everything that the Staff has just set out in its argument to you. This is a legal interpretation of a Commission regulation that utilities accept certain assurances in place of a deposit, including the written guaranty of a customer of good standing of the utility. So, this is a question about "what it means to be "in good standing of the utility"?"

And, as we had noted in our prior legal memorandum that was submitted, I believe marked as "Exhibit 3", that, when the term is not defined in the statute or the rule, we interpret it, as Mr. Sheehan has just said, in light of the other regulations that surround it. In looking at the other relevant regulations, we would look to the entirety of Rule 1203.03 relating to deposits. Which I would note that rule is adopted -- that

full section is adopted pursuant to a single statutory authority. 1203.03(a) notes that a customer can be required to have a deposit when they're a new customer, if they're "unable to furnish evidence of an intent to remain at a location for twelve consecutive months".

1203.03(e) states that, when reviewing whether to request a deposit, the period of review for the customer's history is "twelve months".

1203.03(j) notes that a guarantee would be returned once there have been "twelve months of consecutive payments".

And, 1203.03(1) discusses that, when you're doing a review to calculate the amount of a deposit, that review includes twelve months.

I think it more than reasonable to apply twelve months to a determination of a customer being in good standing under these rules.

I would also contend that having no historical information is not an appropriate interpretation of these rules. For example, if I was a customer with a poor payment history, numerous late payments, perhaps even disconnected, and I might even have a deposit on my own account. But, on one day, I have become current in my payments, by that standard, I would

now be deemed "in good standing" and able to provide a guaranty on behalf of another customer despite my history.

I don't believe that to be a reasonable interpretation.

I would echo Mr. Sheehan's comments relative to the information provided about the activities of the other utilities. And, I believe that the only specific evidence that has been presented about what the other utilities do is the e-mail message from Liberty Utilities that applies precisely the same standard that Eversource has applied.

As Ms. Johnson testified, this is what we do every day. Ms. Johnson has done this for twenty years, fifteen times a week. And, at no point has had any reason to believe that what — the interpretation that we are using is anything other than correct and appropriate, and that it adequately and reasonably applies the rules that have been written by the Commission.

Eversource has done what the law would expect and what the Commission would expect, and would ask that the Commission uphold its interpretation and application of the rules in this instance.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum, I have a question. I know one of the questions you asked of one of Mr. Fisher's witnesses distinguished between a

regulated utility, like yourself, and a private business 1 that's not subject to any set of laws and regulations in 2 3 developing rules for how to deal with customers. Can you 4 flesh out for me the significance of that line of 5 questioning please? The intent, to the extent 6 MR. FOSSUM: 7 that you're asking about my intent in asking the question, 8 was to indicate that, regardless of what Eversource might 9 wish to apply or think is appropriate to apply, if it had 10 no regulations to look at, then we could apply whatever 11 made sense to us. And, that could change over time. 12 are not that way. We are a regulated business. So, our 13 interpretation needs to be consistent with the rules that 14 apply to us. And, unless the rule changes, it should not 15 change. So, that was my intention, was to indicate that, 16 unlike a truly private business, we do not have the 17 flexibility to change our interpretations of things like 18 "customer in good standing" as we see fit. 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. 20 Fisher. And, we already heard your opening. 21 MR. FISHER: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, I assume this 23 is going to be a little different from that?

It will be.

Thank you.

Ι

MR. FISHER:

will try and keep it brief, but I'm afraid it's about the same length. So, I apologize in advance.

We could all argue what a reasonable regulation could be. And, I think that Mr. Fossum here has done a considerably good job of making argument for what a reasonable regulation could be. And, I would be happy to come back here at a future date and discuss what reasonable regulations could be. That's my other job. But, today, I'm here not as legislator, but as a citizen governed by current law. And, reasonability is not what we're here to determine. We are here to determine what the regulation says today and what the common definition of "good standing" is.

And, the evidence and the testimony, I believe, makes it clear that the Eversource's specific definition is not common. Not necessarily uncommon to themselves, but to the people that this regulation also governs. This regulation isn't here only to govern Eversource or the utilities, but instead to govern their interactions with the general public, who must also understand those rules.

Now, they have made a very good indication that this definition has existed in their corporation for a long time. And, I'm afraid that's not

much of a defense against breaking a rule, because you can break a rule for a long time, it doesn't make it not against the law.

Now, the common definition is what the citizens of New Hampshire depend on to understand and follow the rules. Adopting a specific and unknown definition is a danger precedent to set, especially doing so midstream. And, it punishes the reasonable person for following the rules as written. It punishes those for doing something they did not know they should have done, which is inequitable and unfair.

Eversource's definition of "good standing" is, without a doubt, and with no uncertainty, a very specific and very uncommon definition, that does not meet any of the criteria that's required for a common definition.

I'm just going to briefly go over these, because I know we've already heard all these. We did hear Representative Zaricki's experiences with the various utilities. And, we also heard my experience contacting utilities. The example of Liberty Utilities is that they do give different definitions, depending on who you speak with. If our goal was to get a very specific definition of "good standing", that would make sense, but we were

here to find the common understanding.

As a utility customer, if my desire was to find a specific definition of "good standing" that differed from the common understanding, I'd be more confused after contacting the utilities, as there was little in the way of clarification or agreement from me, as a citizen, regardless of what the utilities believe might be common. But we aren't considering only the utilities to determine a common understanding. We have to consider every facet of "common understanding".

And, I'm just going to skip over a little bit here, so we can get out of here sooner.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm going to have a question for you when you're done, so --

MR. FISHER: Sure. Sure. Now, in both my legal summary, as well as Eversource's, they did quote the Supreme Court, *Vector Marketing versus Department of Revenue*, 2008, "When interpreting agency rules, where possible, we ascribe the plain and ordinary meanings to the words used."

Now, they have also used another quote that was a little more illuminating from the *Boviard* versus Department of Admin. Services, 2014. And, I'm going to just reread it real quick. "We interpret

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

disputed language of a statute or regulation in the context of the overall statutory or regulatory scheme and not in isolation." Now, it also says that we aren't to add words. But the beginning of that is important. the "twelve months" standard has been referenced numerous times in the administrative rules, and each and every time that "twelve months" applies not to a potential guarantor, not to a welfare agency, not to a bank. All those things are in Paragraph (i). But, instead, they apply to the original utility account. This is a surety that we're talking about. It is a backup plan for when an electric account is defaulted. Every example is not for an electric account, but instead for a quarantor. There is no text in the administrative rule that indicates that there is anything other than the common definition of "good standing" in the administrative rules. We cannot leave here today having added words to the administrative rule that simply do not exist.

We cannot leave here today having added words to the administrative rule that simply do not exist. It applies the law unevenly and arbitrarily to the average reasonable person that would force an uncommon definition on them midstream after-the-fact, and would punish them for not knowing that the law would be interpreted differently in the future, not by the overseeing body, but instead by the very company the overseeing body was put in

1 the place to govern.

specific definition: "Twelve months, twelve timely payments". That's "good standing" to them. Businesses do not use that definition, the government does not use that definition, reasonable people do not use this definition. But this phrase "good standing" is used in law, law that governs business and people. For there to be any rule of law in New Hampshire, the words written within the laws must apply fairly and be commonly understood, to both the people and the companies that the people do business with.

And, mostly importantly, the rules cannot be written or rewritten midstream to suit a single company at any time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have a question, Mr. Fisher, regarding what is the e-mail from Mr. Licata from Liberty.

MR. FISHER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We don't have the question that you asked. But would it be a fair assumption that you asked a question that really was in the context of this dispute you're having with Eversource, given his response?

MR. FISHER: Actually, we had had a

```
1
       phone call, and he elected to respond via e-mail.
 2
       phone call, I had asked him not the context of "good
 3
       standing". But, upon a second conversation with him, he
 4
       had asked me for my e-mail address, and he had apparently
 5
       done some research on me and determined that was the
 6
       context.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Given the date of
       the exchange, it's likely that he did some online research
 8
 9
       in our docket.
10
                         Commissioner Scott, do you have a
11
       question?
12
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: I think so.
13
       just curious, Representative, again, looking at the text
14
       of (i), does the -- obviously, a "customer in good
       standing" is the language we're talking about. "Of the
15
16
       utility", I assume that extra language, it sounds like it
17
       doesn't -- it doesn't really modify anything in your eyes,
18
       is that correct?
19
                         MR. FISHER: Well, "good standing of the
20
       utility" just means that the account can't just be with,
       you know, your local golf club.
21
22
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: But you don't read
23
       that as some relationship with the utility, in that, in
24
       the utility's eyes, it's "good standing"?
```

```
1
                         MR. FISHER:
                                     It does mean that you need
 2
       to be a "customer in good standing of the utility".
 3
       not sure what precisely further I could clarify, I quess.
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: I think that's
 4
 5
       sufficient.
 6
                         MR. FISHER: Okay.
 7
                         COMMISSIONER SCOTT: But I appreciate
       it.
 8
 9
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have another
10
       question. Mr. Fossum made the distinction somewhat
11
       between the regulated utility context and the private
12
       business context, like Mr. Youssef's. Is that at all
13
       significant to you that Eversource has to take customers,
14
       it can't pick and choose, and, with respect to the lease
15
       situation, unlike the prospective lessor of your brother,
16
       they can't reject prospective customers? And, to further
17
       the thought, if they have uncollectible debt from their
18
       customers, that gets passed along generally to the rest of
19
       the ratepayers. And, so, they have an obligation beyond
20
       just to their own bottom line, but to the other ratepayers
21
       that we're tasked with looking out for. Is that in any
22
       way significant to your view of this?
23
                         MR. FISHER: I think it's important to
24
       note that, during that interchange, Mr. Fossum was right
```

```
1
       to point out that they cannot deviate from the regulation,
 2
       and in a way that does put them at a bit of a
 3
       disadvantage. They have to use what's the common
 4
       understanding. The frustration I have, of course, is that
 5
       that common understanding would be the same as what the
 6
       rest of New Hampshire would normally consider.
 7
                         If you were asking me what I think a
       reasonable regulation would be, I would say that, yes, we
 8
 9
       could probably find a better way to write this rule, that
10
       would protect everyone's interests, including
11
       Eversource's. However, I believe the primacy of the
12
       written rule is that we don't get to decide, after it's
13
       written, how it was interpreted. We have to -- there's a
14
       due process to rewrite the rule to say what it is that
15
       perhaps is more reasonable.
16
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anything further
17
       from anyone?
18
                         MR. FOSSUM: No.
19
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing nothing.
20
       Thank you all very much. We will adjourn and issue an
21
       order as soon as we're able. Thank you.
22
                         MR. FISHER:
                                      Thank you.
23
                         (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
24
                         2:44 p.m.)
```